Optimization Pathways for Teaching Effectiveness in Economics and Finance Courses Based on Blended Learning

Jinhui Huang, Xiaodan Guo, Zhichen Wan

Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, Hainan Province, China 571126

Abstract: In the context of educational digital transformation and rapid changes in the financial industry, blended learning models have become an important reform direction for Economics and Finance courses. The integration of online self-directed learning and offline deep interaction aligns with the dual theoretical and practical dimensions intrinsic to Economics and Finance discipline. Current implementation of blended learning still faces prominent challenges, including superficial integration of teaching modalities, content misalignment with industry advancement, inadequate faculty readiness, and incomplete assessment systems, resulting in suboptimal educational outcomes. This paper conducts an in-depth analysis of the practical challenges in implementing blended learning by examining the core attributes of Economics and Finance courses, and explores targeted optimization strategies across four critical dimensions: content design, instructional delivery, faculty development, and assessment systems, aiming to promote the deep integration of blended learning and Economics and Finance courses, enhance teaching quality and cultivate industry-aligned, interdisciplinary professionals for the economic and financial sectors.

Keywords: Blended Learning; Economics and Finance; Teaching Effectiveness; Optimization Pathways

DOI:10.12417/3029-2328.26.02.007

1.Introduction

With the extensive use of new-generation information technologies such as artificial intelligence and big data in education sector, blended learning, as an organic combination of online and face-to-face learning formats, has transcended the spatiotemporal constraints of traditional teaching and injected new energy into the teaching reform of higher education. Economics and Finance discipline is a field integrating theory and practice. Its curricular content demonstrates dual anchoring in macroeconomic dynamics and financial sector practice, requiring students to not only have solid theoretical foundation, but also possess the capabilities to flexibly apply knowledge to solve real-world problems. Blended learning models can balance theoretical instruction and practical training. They enable self-directed learning and expansion of knowledge through online platforms, and facilitate deep interaction and hands-on guidance through offline classes, aligning theoretically with the teaching demands of Economics and Finance. However, in practical application, most universities demonstrate critical gaps in blended learning, failing to adequately realize its educational efficacy, exacerbating issues such as the online-offline disjunction and contextual precision deficit in instructional delivery. Based on this, it is of significant practical importance to conduct in-depth exploration of the optimization pathways for the teaching effectiveness of Economics and Finance courses under the blended learning models, and address teaching challenges for improving the teaching quality and cultivating industry-aligned talent.

2.Current Challenges in Implementing Blended Learning Models in Economics and Finance Courses

2.1 *Superficial Integration of Teaching Modalities Manifests as a Lack of Synergistic Collaboration Between Online and Offline Modalities*

Currently, in most universities, blended learning for Economics and Finance still remains confined to a superficial integration phase characterized by one-way resource dissemination online coupled with didactic instruction offline, failing to achieve the organic synergy between online and offline instructional delivery. Online instruction predominantly manifests as passive dissemination through slide presentations, enumerative knowledge listing, and rudimentary task assignment, lacking contextualized interactivity and personalized guidance, failing to ignite self-regulated learning engagement, resulting in online engagement to mere “logging in and clocking out” that

undermines its potential for knowledge expansion and autonomous learning cultivation [1]. Offline instruction still follows the traditional model characterized by "teachers lecturing, students passively listening". It fails to fully utilize the online learning outcomes to carry out deep interaction, case analysis and practical training. The online and offline curricular content are redundant and disjointed, resulting in a pedagogical bifurcation, manifesting as a theory-practice dichotomy that undermines the authentic alignment between knowledge acquisition and competency application. Such superficial integration not only fails to leverage the advantages of blended learning, but also increases students' learning burden and negatively affects teaching effectiveness.

2.2 *Instructional Content Exhibits Significant Lagging and Misalignment, Lacking Adaptive Relevance and Contextual Precision in Addressing Contemporary Demands*

The Economics and Finance sector is evolving rapidly. The rapid evolution of Fintech is driving continuous changes in industry formats, and the requirements are constantly changing for the knowledge structure and capabilities of economic and financial professionals. However, current curricular content in blended learning still lags behind industry practice. Online instructional resources mostly rely on traditional textbook content, failing to timely incorporate industry dynamics, latest policies and regulations, and authentic cases, which makes them struggle to meet students' needs for understanding industrial evolution and enhancing practical application proficiency. Concurrently, the instructional content exhibits contextual precision deficits, failing to differentiate teaching designs according to the disciplinary specificity of Economics and Finance courses or address the heterogeneous learning requirements across diverse student cohorts. The application of a monolithic blended learning model across diverse courses—from theoretically intensive courses like Principles of Economics and Monetary Banking to practice-driven courses such as Securities Investment and Financial Risk Management—has precipitated a structural misalignment between instructional content and learners' learning needs, and career development imperatives, thereby precluding the realization of differentiated instruction [2].

2.3 *Faculty Competencies Exhibit Critical Gaps, Hindering Effective Alignment with the Demands of Teaching Reform*

Blended learning places higher requirements on the holistic competencies of teachers. Not only do they need to have solid knowledge in Economics and Finance, but they also need to master the operation skills of online teaching platforms, and possess the design and guidance capabilities in integrating online and offline instruction. However, currently, some Economics and Finance teachers are still influenced by traditional teaching philosophy and lack a deep understanding of blended learning models. As a result, they fail to effectively design online-offline synergistic teaching process. Deficient digital literacy among certain teachers impedes their capabilities to leverage online teaching tools to conduct interactive teaching and personalized guidance, consequently undermining the potential of online instruction. Furthermore, lack industrial immersion among certain teachers hinder their understanding of the frontiers of Fintech and industry reality. When designing practice teaching content and guiding students to solve real-world problems, they are unable to do as well as they would wish, resulting in suboptimal educational outcomes of blended learning [3].

2.4 *Current Assessment Systems Remain Inadequately Structured, Failing to Provide a Comprehensive Representation of Teaching Outcomes*

A scientific and sound evaluation system is an important safeguard for enhancing the effectiveness of blended learning. However, current evaluation systems for blended learning of Economics and Finance still face many challenges. The evaluation methods are rather monolithic, mainly centered on the final exam results, supplemented by simple indicators such as online learning time and homework completion. It lacks a comprehensive assessment framework capable of evaluating multidimensional competencies—autonomous learning capabilities, practical application proficiency, and innovative thinking capacity. The evaluative paradigm remains predominantly monolithic, heavily reliant on teacher evaluation while lacking student self-evaluation and peer evaluation

mechanisms, as well as substantive industry validation. As a result, the evaluation results are unable to comprehensively reflect students' learning outcomes and teaching quality. Furthermore, the evaluation feedback mechanism is not well-established. The evaluation results fail to be promptly and effectively fed back to teachers and students, thereby precluding the realization of targeted guidance for teaching optimization and learning improvement. As a result, blended learning fails to forge a closed-loop ecosystem of "evaluation → feedback → optimization".

3.Optimization Pathways for Teaching Effectiveness in Economics and Finance Courses Based on Blended Learning

3.1 *Deepening the Integration of Teaching Modalities to Establish an Online-Offline Synergistic Closed-Loop Ecosystem*

Higher education institutions must address the misalignment between online and offline instruction, build a "pre-class → in-class → post-class" whole-process collaborative blended closed-loop teaching ecosystem, achieve the profound enhancement in teaching modalities from superficial integration to substantive integration, and truly leverage the complementary advantages of the two teaching modalities. Before the class, teachers must leverage online teaching platforms to feed targeted learning resources, including concise micro-lesson videos, knowledge point interpretation documents, and extended reading materials to students based on the core knowledge points of Economics and Finance courses, and design tiered preview tasks according to the key points and difficulties of the courses to guide students to autonomously synthesize fundamental theoretical knowledge and execute foundational exercise drills [4]. Leveraging online platforms, teachers conduct real-time monitoring of student preparation progress and answer accuracy, systematically aggregating pre-class questions to identify pervasive knowledge gaps, facilitating precision-targeted lesson planning for in-person instruction, ensuring precision-focused content delivery that effectively mitigates didactic randomness. During the class, teachers should abandon the traditional "spoon-feeding" pedagogy and focus on the key points, difficulties and common questions in online learning, organize various activities, such as deep interaction, case analysis, and group discussions, to encourage students to proactively initiate discourse, exchange ideas, and transform self-directed online learning outcomes into substantive inquiry foundation for offline learning. Given that Economics and Finance discipline is a practice-intensive field, offline classes can focus on activities such as case analysis and scenario simulation to emphasize the facilitative engagement and action-oriented praxis of in-person instruction, and avoid redundant content between online and offline instruction, enabling offline classes a core platform for deepening understanding and enhancing capabilities. After class, teachers assign differentiated extension tasks, and practice-oriented assignments, and design tasks such as case analysis reports and mock trading operation based on the disciplinary characteristics through the online platforms to guide students in consolidating their knowledge and enhancing their practical application proficiency. Simultaneously, teachers should conduct personalized Q&A activities using online interaction tools to promptly address the problems students encounter during their after-class study, organize students to show their learning outcomes and engage in mutual evaluation, and establish online communication platforms to achieve seamless articulation and closed-loop advancement of online and offline instruction. Moreover, capitalizing on the inherent industry nexus of Economics and Finance courses, they organically integrate authentic sectoral case studies and simulated practical training throughout the online-offline teaching process to promote the deep integration of theoretical mastery and applied proficiency, and enable learners to cultivate authentic professional proximity while substantially augmenting their actionable competence in knowledge deployment [5].

3.2 *Optimizing Instructional Content to Enhance Adaptive Relevance and Contextual Precision*

Higher education institutions must ground themselves in the rapid development requirements of the Economics and Finance sector, and examine the core traits of specialized courses and the personalized learning needs of students to continuously optimize the blended learning content to achieve the precision alignment of instructional content with industrial evolution and student needs. On the one hand, they must establish an adaptive renewal mechanism for

instructional content to promptly monitor the latest developments in Fintech, macroeconomic policy adjustment, and industry trends, and integrate cutting-edge content such as digital finance, green finance, and inclusive finance, as well as the latest policies and regulations, and typical industrial cases into both online and offline teaching materials in order to transcend the lagging and misalignment constraints of traditional textbooks and enable students to promptly understand industrial evolution, master practical knowledge and competencies, and establish a learning philosophy that combines theory with practice [6]. On the other hand, they must implement differentiated teaching designs, optimize teaching focuses according to the disciplinary specificity of Economics and Finance courses. For theoretically intensive courses like Principles of Economics and Monetary Banking, they should feed theoretical expansion materials and academic frontiers to learners through online platforms, while carrying out theoretical interpretation, logical analysis, viewpoint analysis, and other activities in offline classes, facilitating students to deepen their theoretical understanding. For practice-driven courses such as Securities Investment and Financial Risk Management, they should build simulated training scenarios through online platforms, conducting online mock trading and risk assessment, and carry out hands-on guidance, case review, and problem correction in offline classes, enhancing students' practical application proficiency. Simultaneously, they must account for the differences in students' learning foundation and career development plans to design tiered teaching tasks. For students with weak foundation, they should provide fundamental learning resources and targeted guidance. For those students who have the ability to learn more, they should feed extended resources and challenging tasks, thereby enabling the realization of differentiated instruction, and effectively enhancing the adaptive relevance and contextual precision of the instructional content. In addition, they must augment the integration and sharing of teaching resources, and collaborate with in-house disciplinary teachers and industry experts to jointly establish diversified online teaching resource libraries that cover theoretical knowledge, case analysis, simulation training, and academic enrichment, etc.to enable the sharing of resources and fully satisfy the diverse needs of students' self-regulated learning and teachers' instructional delivery.

3.3 *Strengthening Faculty Construction to Enhance the Holistic Teaching Competencies of Teachers*

To address inadequate faculty readiness, higher education institutions must establish a multidimensional faculty training system to facilitate faculty to adapt to the requirements of blended learning reform. Firstly, they must conduct special training, and provide systematic training on topics, such as blended learning philosophy, the operation of online teaching platforms, and teaching process design, for Economics and Finance teachers to enhance their digital literacy and teaching design capabilities. Secondly, they must establish exchange and discussion platforms, and organize teachers to conduct activities such as blended learning experience exchanges and collective preparation of instruction, and share teaching cases and methods, promoting mutual learning and common capability enhancement among teachers. Thirdly, they must augment faculty industrial immersion, and leverage enterprise-university collaboration, professional residency programs, and structured corporate secondments to facilitate deep engagement with frontline financial operation, and enable teachers to assimilate cutting-edge practice and discern sectoral trajectories, and integrate experiential insights into the teaching process, thereby enhancing contextualized practicality and contextual precision of instructional delivery. Finally, they must establish an incentive mechanism to encourage teachers to actively explore blended learning reform, and give recognition and support to those teachers who have made an outstanding performance in teaching reform, thereby stimulating their enthusiasm for teaching innovation.

3.4 *Refining Assessment Systems to Construct a Comprehensive, and Multi-modal Evaluation Mechanism*

Higher education institutions must transcend the monolithic evaluation models and establish a comprehensive, multi-stakeholder collaborative blended learning evaluation system to fully capture the teaching effectiveness and students' learning quality. On the one hand, they must enrich the evaluation content and indicators, incorporate students' online learning performance, offline classroom engagement, quality of homework completion, practical

application proficiency, and innovative thinking capacity, etc. into the evaluation scope and establish a diversified evaluation indicator system, consequently undermining the evaluative paradigm that uses exam results as the sole evaluation criterion. On the other hand, they must expand the evaluation entities and introduce student self-evaluation and peer evaluation, as well as substantive industry validation to establish a diversified evaluation entity system integrating teacher evaluation, student self-evaluation, peer evaluation, and substantive industry validation, thereby enhancing the objectivity and comprehensiveness of the evaluation results. In addition, they must refine the evaluation feedback mechanism, promptly deliver the evaluation results to teachers and students, lead teachers to optimize the teaching process and content, and guide students to improve their learning methods based on the problems identified in evaluations, forging a closed-loop ecosystem of "evaluation → feedback → optimization" to continuously enhance the effectiveness of blended learning.

4.Conclusion

Blended learning models provide a new approach for the teaching reform of economic and financial courses. Its integration of online and offline modalities can effectively align with the dual theoretical and practical dimensions intrinsic to Economics and Finance discipline, and facilitate to enhance teaching quality and the level of talent cultivation. However, current implementation of blended learning in Economics and Finance courses still faces prominent challenges, including superficial integration of teaching modalities, content misalignment with industry advancement, inadequate faculty readiness, and incomplete assessment systems. By deepening the integration of online and offline instruction, optimizing instructional content, strengthening faculty construction, and refining the evaluation system, these optimization pathways can effectively solve the teaching challenges and promote the deep integration of blended learning with Economics and Finance courses, and fully actualize its educational efficacy.

References:

[1] *Na Li.(2025)Construction and Implementation of AI-enabled Blended Learning Models:A Case Study of International Economics and Trade[J].Foreign Economic Relations&Trade,2,126-129.*

[2] *Weijin Wang,Weimin Wang.(2023)Application of the Blended Learning Model Based on " Internet+Virtual Workplace " in the Teaching of International Economics and Trade in Higher Vocational Education[J].Kexue Zixun,18,148-150.*

[3] *Xiangxiao Min.(2023)Reform in Blended Learning Models for Courses in Application-oriented Universities:A Case Study of the " Marketing " Course of Conference and Exhibition Economy and Management in D University[J].Reform and Opening,5,57-65.*

[4] *Zhiqun Bian.(2021)Practice and Reflection on Process-oriented Assessment under the Blended Learning Models Based on the Superstar Online Platform:A Case Study of the "Corporate Finance" Course of Hubei University of Economics[J].Journal of Hubei University of Economics(Humanities and Social Sciences Edition),18(11),138-140.*

[5] *Ying Zhang,Gege Jiang,Jingjing Guo,Qiang Wu,Cuiping Zhao.(2021)Construction and Implementation of the Tri-Phase Pentaplex Blended Learning Model in the Context of New Liberal Arts:A Case Study of Agricultural and Forestry Economic Management Courses[J].Rural Areas,Agriculture&Farmers(B Edition),20,52-54.*

[6] *Linlin Zhao.(2021)Pathways to Enhancing the Effectiveness of Classroom Teaching in Higher Vocational Business Management Courses under the Blended Learning Models:A Case Study of Huizhou Economics&Polytechnic College[J].Journal of Jincheng Institute of Technology,14(02),46-49.*